

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

The committee responsible for this document is Technical Committee ISO/TC 299, *Robots and robotic devices*.

This Technical Specification is relevant only in conjunction with the safety requirements for collaborative industrial robot operation described in [ISO 10218-1](#) and [ISO 10218-2](#).

Introduction

The objective of collaborative robots is to combine the repetitive performance of robots with the individual skills and ability of people. People have an excellent capability for solving imprecise exercises; robots exhibit precision, power and endurance.

To achieve safety, robotic applications traditionally exclude operator access to the operations area while the robot is active. Therefore, a variety of operations requiring human intervention often cannot be automated using robot systems.

This Technical Specification provides guidance for collaborative robot operation where a robot system and people share the same workspace. In such operations, the integrity of the safety-related control system is of major importance, particularly when process parameters such as speed and force are being controlled.

A comprehensive risk assessment is required to assess not only the robot system itself, but also the environment in which it is placed, i.e. the workplace. When implementing applications in which people and robot systems collaborate, ergonomic advantages can also result, e.g. improvements of worker posture.

This Technical Specification supplements and supports the industrial robot safety standards [ISO 10218-1](#) and [ISO 10218-2](#), and provides additional guidance on the identified operational functions for collaborative robots.

The collaborative operations described in this Technical Specification are dependent upon the use of robots meeting the requirements of [ISO 10218-1](#) and their integration meeting the requirements of [ISO 10218-2](#).

NOTE Collaborative operation is a developing field. The values for power and force limiting stated in this Technical Specification are expected to evolve in future editions.

1 Scope

This Technical Specification specifies safety requirements for collaborative industrial robot systems and the work environment, and supplements the requirements and guidance on collaborative industrial robot operation given in [ISO 10218-1](#) and [ISO 10218-2](#).

This Technical Specification applies to industrial robot systems as described in [ISO 10218-1](#) and [ISO 10218-2](#). It does not apply to non-industrial robots, although the safety principles presented can be useful to other areas of robotics.

NOTE This Technical Specification does not apply to collaborative applications designed prior to its publication.

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 10218-1:2011, *Robots and robotic devices — Safety requirements for industrial robots — Part 1: Robots*

ISO 10218-2:2011, *Robots and robotic devices — Safety requirements for industrial robots — Part 2: Robot systems and integration*

ISO 12100, *Safety of machinery — General principles for design — Risk assessment and risk reduction*

ISO 13850, *Safety of machinery — Emergency stop function — Principles for design*

ISO 13855, *Safety of machinery — Positioning of safeguards with respect to the approach speeds of parts of the human body*

IEC 60204-1, *Safety of machinery — Electrical equipment of machines — Part 1: General requirements*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 10218-1, ISO 10218-2 and ISO 12100 and the following apply.

3.1 collaborative operation
state in which a purposely designed robot system and an operator work within a collaborative workspace
[SOURCE: ISO 10218-1:2011, 3.4, modified]

3.2 power
mechanical power
mechanical rate of doing work, or the amount of energy consumed per unit time

Note 1 to entry: Power does not pertain to the electrical power rating on an electronic device, such as a motor.

3.3 collaborative workspace
space within the operating space where the robot system (including the workpiece) and a human can perform tasks concurrently during production operation

Note 1 to entry: See Figure 1.

[SOURCE: ISO 10218-1:2011, 3.5, modified]

3.4 quasi-static contact
contact between an operator and part of a robot system, where the operator body part can be clamped between a moving part of a robot system and another fixed or moving part of the robot cell

3.5 transient contact
contact between an operator and part of a robot system, where the operator body part is not clamped and can recoil or retract from the moving part of the robot system

3.6 protective separation distance
shortest permissible distance between any moving hazardous part of the robot system and any human in the collaborative workspace

Note 1 to entry: This value can be fixed or variable.

3.7 body model
representation of the human body consisting of individual body segments characterized by biomechanical properties

Bibliography

- [1] IEC/TS 62046:2008, *Safety of machinery — Application of protective equipment to detect the presence of persons*
- [2] EN 12453:2000, *Industrial, commercial and garage doors and gates — Safety in use of power operated doors — Requirements*
- [3] D. Mewes, F. Mauser Safeguarding Crushing Points by Limitation of Forces. *Int. J. Occup. Saf. Ergon.* 2003, 9 (2) pp. 177–191
- [4] K. Suita, Y. Yamada, N. Tsuchida, K. Imai, H. Ikeda, N. Sugimoto A Failure-to-safety “Kyozon” system with simple contact detection and stop capabilities for safe human-autonomous robot coexistence. *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation 0-7803-1965-6/95.* 1995
- [5] Research project No. FP-0317: Collaborative robots – Investigation of pain sensibility at the Man-Machine-Interface. Institute for Occupational, Social and Environmental Medicine at the Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz, Germany. Final report December 2014
- [6] BG/BGIA Risk assessment recommendations according to machinery directive. Design of workplaces with collaborative robots. U 001/2009e October 2009 edition, revised February 2011 http://publikationen.dguv.de/dguv/pdf/10002/bg_bgia_empf_u_001e.pdf
- [7] Suita Yamada, Sugimoto Ikeda, Nakamura Miura Pain: Evaluation of pain tolerance based on a biomechanical method for human- robot- coexistence. *Transactions of the Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers.* No 96-0689. 1997-8
- [8] USAARL Report No 88-5 Anthropometry and Mass Distribution for Human Analogues. *Military Male Aviators*, Vol. I, 1988.
- [9] Roland Behrens, Norbert Elkmann Experimentelle Verifikation der biomechanischen Belastungsgrenzen bei Mensch-Roboter-Kollisionen: Phase I, Fraunhofer-Institut fuer Fabrikbetrieb und -automatisierung IFF, Magdeburg, October 2014